



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,615	08/31/2001	John M. Burgan	PT03307U	1302
24273	7590	06/02/2005	EXAMINER	
MOTOROLA, INC INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION LAW DEPT 8000 WEST SUNRISE BLVD FT LAUDERDAL, FL 33322			AU, SCOTT D	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2635		
DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Advisory Action  
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief**

<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
09/944,615	BURGAN ET AL.	
<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Scott Au	2635	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 13 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  
 b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2.  The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because  
 (a)  They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  
 (b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  
 (c)  They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  
 (d)  They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  
 5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_.  
 6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b)  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  
 9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \_\_\_\_\_

12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_

13.  Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claims add new limitations that "access one or more codes representing at least one of the message components to be displayed, wherein the one or more codes are associated with at least a portion of a previous message received by the receiving messaging device, according to claim 1" and "a signature to be displayed, according to claim 8" that raises new issue that requires further consideration. The amended claims require further search. Therefore, the amended claims will not be entered.

attached: copy of petition decision mailed 5/11/05

MICHAEL HORABIK  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

MOTOROLA, INC.  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION  
LAW DEPT  
8000 WEST SUNRISE BLVD  
FT LAUDERDALE FL 33322

COPY MAILED

MAY 11 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of  
Burgan, et al.  
Application No. 09/944,615  
Filed: August 31, 2001  
Attorney Docket No. PTO3307U  
For: MESSAGING SYSTEM PROVIDING MESSAGE  
REDUNDANCY REDUCTION

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a), filed April 13, 2005, requesting revival of the above-identified application. The petition will be treated under 37 CFR 1.181, as a request to withdraw the holding of abandonment of the above-identified application.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.181 is **GRANTED**.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) is **DISMISSED AS MOOT**.

This application was held abandoned for failure to respond in a timely manner to the final Office action, mailed August 10, 2004, which set forth an extendable three (3) month period for reply. The Office contended that this application became abandoned on November 11, 2004 for failure to reply to the August 10, 2004 final Office action. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on March 10, 2005.

Petitioners assert an amendment was timely transmitted via facsimile to and received by the Office on November 10, 2004. The Office has no record of receiving these items. However, the copy of the itemized Transmittal Form submitted with the instant petition contains a proper certificate of facsimile transmission citing a November 10, 2004 date of deposit. The Transmittal Form states that an After-final Amendment and Fee Transmittal Form was enclosed.

Under 37 CFR 1.8(b), correspondence is considered timely filed if petitioner: supplies (1) a copy of the previously transmitted correspondence with a signed certificate of transmission affixed thereto (2) a statement which attests on a personal knowledge basis to the previous timely transmission. A copy of the sending unit's report confirming transmission may be used to support this statement. Furthermore, under 37 CFR 1.8(c), the Office may require additional evidence to determine if the correspondence was timely filed.

Petitioners have supplied persuasive evidence of facsimile transmission on November 10, 2004. Specifically, petitioners have submitted a copy of their USPTO Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission which shows that 14 pages was received in the Office on November 10, 2004. The

Received Cover Page printed on the first page of the Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission is the aforementioned Transmittal Form.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.181 is **GRANTED** and the Notice of Abandonment is vacated. No petition fee has been or will be charged in connection with this matter.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) is **DISMISSED AS MOOT**.

Accordingly, the application file will be forwarded to the Technology Center A.U. 2635's technical support staff for entry of the November 10, 2004 amendment. Thereafter, the application file will be forwarded to the examiner of record for action in due course.

Telephone inquiries pertaining to this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3230.



E. Shirene Willis  
Senior Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions  
Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
for Patent Examination Policy